

Problem 1(a):

The linear sigma model has scalar potential

$$V(\sigma, \underline{\pi}) = \frac{\lambda}{8} (\sigma^2 + \underline{\pi}^2 - f^2)^2 - \beta\sigma. \quad (\text{S.1})$$

Any local minimum of this potential satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \underline{\pi}} &= \frac{\lambda}{2} (\sigma^2 + \underline{\pi}^2 - f^2) \times \underline{\pi} = 0, \text{ and} \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma} &= \frac{\lambda}{2} (\sigma^2 + \underline{\pi}^2 - f^2) \times \sigma - \beta = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.2})$$

which together imply  $\underline{\pi} = 0$  while  $\sigma$  satisfies a cubic equation

$$\sigma(\sigma^2 - f^2) - \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} = 0. \quad (\text{S.3})$$

For small  $\beta > 0$  this equation has three real solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &\approx -\frac{2\beta}{\lambda f^2} && \text{which is a local maximum,} \\ \sigma_2 &\approx -f + \frac{\beta}{\lambda f^2} && \text{which is a saddle point,} \\ \sigma_3 &\approx +f + \frac{\beta}{\lambda f^2} && \text{which is the only minimum.} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.4})$$

Problem 1(b):

Let us shift the  $\sigma$  field by its vacuum expectation value  $\sigma(x) = \langle \sigma \rangle + \tilde{\sigma}(x)$ . Rewriting the potential in terms of the  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\underline{\pi}$  fields, we have

$$V = \text{const} + \frac{\beta}{2\langle \sigma \rangle} (\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \underline{\pi}^2) + \frac{\lambda \langle \sigma \rangle^2}{2} \tilde{\sigma}^2 + \frac{\lambda \langle \sigma \rangle}{2} \tilde{\sigma} (\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \underline{\pi}^2) + \frac{\lambda}{8} (\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \underline{\pi}^2)^2. \quad (\text{S.5})$$

Disregarding the constant part, we split the Lagrangian (1) as

$$\mathcal{L} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{free}} + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{int}} \quad (\text{S.6})$$

where the quadratic part

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{free}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \tilde{\sigma})^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \tilde{\pi})^2 - \frac{\beta}{2\langle\sigma\rangle}(\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \tilde{\pi}^2) - \frac{\lambda\langle\sigma\rangle^2}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^2 \quad (\text{S.7})$$

corresponds to the free  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\tilde{\pi}$  fields while the cubic and quartic terms

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{\lambda\langle\sigma\rangle}{2}\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \tilde{\pi}^2) - \frac{\lambda}{8}(\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \tilde{\pi}^2)^2. \quad (\text{S.8})$$

govern their interactions. Particles' masses follow from the quadratic Lagrangian (S.7):

$$\begin{aligned} M_\pi^2 &= \frac{\beta}{\langle\sigma\rangle} \approx \frac{\beta}{f}, \quad \text{and} \\ M_\sigma^2 &= \frac{\beta}{\langle\sigma\rangle} + \lambda\langle\sigma\rangle^2 \approx \lambda f^2 \gg M_\pi^2. \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Problem 2(a):

For the sake notational simplicity, let us use discrete particle momenta in this problem, hence discrete bosonic commutations relations

$$[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}'}] = 0, \quad [\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = 0, \quad [\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = \delta_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'}. \quad (\text{S.9})$$

Taking the Bogolyubov transform formulae (3) as definitions of the  $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger$  operators, we calculate

$$[\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}'}] = \cosh(t_{\mathbf{p}}) \sinh(t_{\mathbf{p}'}) \delta_{\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p}'} - \sinh(t_{\mathbf{p}}) \cosh(t_{\mathbf{p}'}) \delta_{-\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'} = 0 \quad (\text{S.10})$$

because  $t_{\mathbf{p}} = t_{\mathbf{p}'}$  for  $\mathbf{p} = -\mathbf{p}'$ . Likewise,  $[\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = 0$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] &= \cosh(t_{\mathbf{p}}) \cosh(t_{\mathbf{p}'}) \delta_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'} - \sinh(t_{-\mathbf{p}}) \sinh(t_{-\mathbf{p}'}) \delta_{-\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p}'} \\ &= \delta_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'} \left( \cosh^2(t_{\mathbf{p}}) - \sinh^2(t_{\mathbf{p}}) = 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.11})$$

In other words, the  $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger$  operators satisfy the same bosonic commutations relations

$$[\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}'}] = 0, \quad [\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = 0, \quad [\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = \delta_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'}. \quad (\text{S.12})$$

as the original  $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger$  operators. *Q.E.D.*

Problem 2(b):

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}} \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \cosh(2t_{\mathbf{p}}) \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \sinh(2t_{\mathbf{p}}) \left( \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{-\mathbf{p}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} \right) + \text{const.} \quad (\text{S.13})$$

Therefore, the Hamiltonian (4) can be “diagonalized” in terms of the transformed creation / annihilation operators (3) if and only if we can solve for  $\omega_{\mathbf{p}}$  and  $t_{\mathbf{p}}$  such that

$$\omega_{\mathbf{p}} \cosh(2t_{\mathbf{p}}) = A_{\mathbf{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \sinh(2t_{\mathbf{p}}) = B_{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (\text{S.14})$$

The latter equations are solvable whenever  $A_{\mathbf{p}} > |B_{\mathbf{p}}|$  and the solution is

$$t_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{artanh} \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}}}{A_{\mathbf{p}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{\mathbf{p}} = \sqrt{A_{\mathbf{p}}^2 - B_{\mathbf{p}}^2}. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Problem 3(a):

In the Hamiltonian formalism for the classical fields  $\Phi(x)$  and  $\Phi^*(x)$ , the canonical conjugate fields are

$$\Pi(x) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 \Phi^*)} = \partial_0 \Phi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi^*(x) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 \Phi)} = \partial_0 \Phi^*(x). \quad (\text{S.15})$$

The canonical conjugation implies canonical Poisson brackets between the classical fields  $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\Pi^*(\mathbf{x})$ , and likewise  $\Phi^*(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\Pi(\mathbf{x})$  and hence the canonical commutation relation between their quantum counterparts: In the Schrödinger picture

$$[\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}), \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}')] = [\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}), \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}')] = [\hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}), \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}')] = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{\Pi}(\mathbf{x}), \hat{\Pi}(\mathbf{x}')] &= [\hat{\Pi}(\mathbf{x}), \hat{\Pi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}')] = [\hat{\Pi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}), \hat{\Pi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}')] = 0, \\
[\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}), \hat{\Pi}(\mathbf{x}')] &= [\hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}), \hat{\Pi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}')] = 0, \\
[\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}), \hat{\Pi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}')] &= [\hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}), \hat{\Pi}(\mathbf{x}')] = i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}').
\end{aligned} \tag{S.16}$$

In the Heisenberg picture, we have similar commutation relations for equal times  $t = t'$ ; for un-equal times, the formulae are much more complicated, *cf.* problem 4.

Classically, the Hamiltonian density is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} &= \Pi\partial_0\Phi + \Pi^*\partial_0\Phi^* - \mathcal{L} \\
&= \Pi^*\Pi + \nabla\Phi^* \cdot \nabla\Phi + m^2\Phi^*\Phi,
\end{aligned} \tag{S.17}$$

so the quantum theory's Hamiltonian is obviously (9) (modulo operator-ordering ambiguity). *Q.E.D.*

Problem 3(b):

Fourier transforming the canonical commutation relations (S.16) results in

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}'}] &= [\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = [\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger, \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = 0, \\
[\hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}'}] &= [\hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = [\hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger, \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = 0, \\
[\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}'}] &= [\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger, \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = 0, \\
[\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] &= [\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger, \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}'}] = (2\pi)^3 i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}').
\end{aligned} \tag{S.18}$$

Consequently,

$$[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}'}] = [\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = [\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = 0 \tag{S.19}$$

because all  $\Phi_{\mathbf{p}}$  and all  $\Pi_{\mathbf{p}}$  commute with each other. Similarly,

$$[\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}'}] = [\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = [\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = 0 \tag{S.20}$$

because all  $\Phi_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger$  and all  $\Pi_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger$  commute with each other too. Less obviously,

$$[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}'}] = iE_{\mathbf{p}} \times (2\pi)^3 i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}') + iE_{\mathbf{p}'} \times (2\pi)^3 (-i)\delta^{(3)}(-\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') = 0, \tag{S.21}$$

and likewise  $[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = 0$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] &= [\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = -iE_{\mathbf{p}} \times (2\pi)^3 i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') + iE_{\mathbf{p}'} \times (2\pi)^3 (-i)\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}) \\ &= 2E_{\mathbf{p}} \times (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.22})$$

as appropriate for the relativistic normalization of the one-particle states,  
 $\langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{p}' \rangle = 2E_{\mathbf{p}} \times (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$ . *Q.E.D.*

Problem 3(c):

First, Fourier-transforming the free Hamiltonian (9) gives us

$$\hat{H}_{\text{free}} = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}} + E_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}} \right). \quad (\text{S.23})$$

Second, we reverse the definitions (11) to obtain

$$\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} + \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}} - \hat{a}_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger}{2i}. \quad (\text{S.24})$$

Third, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}} + \hat{\Pi}_{-\mathbf{p}} \hat{\Pi}_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger &= \frac{1}{4}(\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p}}) (\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} + \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) + \frac{1}{4}(\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p}}) (\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} - \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} + \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p}} \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.25})$$

Finally, we put eqs. (S.25) and (S.23) together and derive

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{\text{free}} &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \left( E_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}} + \hat{\Pi}_{-\mathbf{p}} \hat{\Pi}_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \right) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2} (\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} + \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p}} \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi) \cdot 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} (E_{\mathbf{p}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} + E_{\mathbf{p}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}) + \text{const.} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.26})$$

*Q.E.D.*

Problem 3(d):

In the Hamiltonian formalism, the classical charge density is

$$J^0 = i\Phi^*(\partial^0\Phi) - i(\partial^0\Phi^*)\Phi = i\Phi^*\Pi - i\Phi\Pi^*, \quad (\text{S.27})$$

(*cf.* eq. (7)). In a quantum theory, this gives us the charge operator

$$\hat{Q} = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \hat{J}^0(\mathbf{x}) = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left( \frac{i}{2} \{ \hat{\Phi}^\dagger, \hat{\Pi} \} - \frac{i}{2} \{ \hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Pi}^\dagger \} + \text{const} \right), \quad (\text{S.28})$$

where the unknown constant reflects the ambiguity of operator ordering in quantizing a classical quantity. We shall see momentarily that setting this constant to zero results in the normal ordering of  $\hat{Q}$  in terms of creation and annihilation operators and hence in zero charge of the vacuum state  $|0\rangle$ . Physically, this is what we want — hence, the first eq. (13).

Our next step is to Fourier transforming eq. (S.28), which yields

$$\hat{Q} = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{P}}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \frac{i}{2} \{ \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{P}}^\dagger, \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{P}} \} - \frac{i}{2} \{ \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{P}}, \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{P}}^\dagger \} \right). \quad (\text{S.29})$$

Furthermore, simple algebra gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i}{2} \{ \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{P}}^\dagger, \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{P}} \} &= \frac{\hat{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{P}} - \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{P}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{P}} + \hat{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{P}}^\dagger - \hat{a}_{\mathbf{P}} \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{P}}}{4E_{\mathbf{P}}}, \\ \frac{-i}{2} \{ \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{P}}, \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{P}}^\dagger \} &= \frac{\hat{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{P}} - \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{P}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{P}} - \hat{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{P}}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{\mathbf{P}} \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{P}}}{4E_{\mathbf{P}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.30})$$

(*cf.* eq. (S.24)) and therefore,

$$\hat{Q} = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{P}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{P}}} \left( \hat{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{P}} - \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{P}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{P}} \right) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{P}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{P}}} \left( \hat{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{P}} - \hat{b}_{\mathbf{P}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{P}} \right). \quad \mathcal{Q.E.D.}$$

Problem 3(e):

According to eq. (14), classically

$$T^{0i} = \partial^0 \Phi^* \partial^i \Phi + \partial^0 \Phi \partial^i \Phi^* = -\Pi^* \partial_i \Phi - \Pi \partial_i \Phi^*, \quad (\text{S.31})$$

and hence in the quantum theory

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{mech}} = \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{\Pi}^\dagger, \nabla \hat{\Phi} \} - \frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{\Pi}, \nabla \hat{\Phi}^\dagger \} \right). \quad (\text{S.32})$$

Fourier-transforming this formula, we arrive at

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{mech}} = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \left( -\frac{i\mathbf{p}}{2} \{ \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger, \hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}} \} + \frac{i\mathbf{p}}{2} \{ \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \} \right), \quad (\text{S.33})$$

and then applying eqs. (S.30) finally yields

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{mech}} = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left( \mathbf{p} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{p} \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p}} \right) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left( \mathbf{p} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{p} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}} \right). \quad \mathcal{Q.E.D.}$$

Problem 4(a):

Given the commutation relations (S.19) through (S.22) and the free Hamiltonian (12), straightforward commutations show that

$$\hat{H} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} = \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} (\hat{H} - E_{\mathbf{p}}), \quad \hat{H} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}} = \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}} (\hat{H} - E_{\mathbf{p}}), \quad \hat{H} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger = \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger (\hat{H} + E_{\mathbf{p}}), \quad \hat{H} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger = \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger (\hat{H} + E_{\mathbf{p}}), \quad (\text{S.34})$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^H(t) &= e^{it\hat{H}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^S e^{-it\hat{H}} = e^{-itE_{\mathbf{p}}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^S, \\ \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^H(t) &= e^{it\hat{H}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^S e^{-it\hat{H}} = e^{-itE_{\mathbf{p}}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^S, \\ \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger H}(t) &= e^{it\hat{H}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger S} e^{-it\hat{H}} = e^{-itE_{\mathbf{p}}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger S}, \\ \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger H}(t) &= e^{it\hat{H}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger S} e^{-it\hat{H}} = e^{-itE_{\mathbf{p}}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger S}. \end{aligned} \quad \mathcal{Q.E.D.}$$

Problem 4(b):

In the Schrödinger picture, eqs. (S.24) imply

$$\hat{\Phi}^S(\mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} e^{i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}} (\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} + \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger)^S = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left( e^{i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} + e^{-i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \right)^S \quad (\text{S.35})$$

and likewise

$$\hat{\Pi}^S(\mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left( -iE_{\mathbf{p}} e^{i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} + iE_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \right)^S. \quad (\text{S.36})$$

In the Heisenberg picture, we use time-dependent creation and annihilation operators (16), hence

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}^H(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left( e^{+i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^H(t) + e^{-i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger H}(t) \right) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left( e^{+i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}} e^{-iE_{\mathbf{p}}t} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^S + e^{-i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}} e^{+iE_{\mathbf{p}}t} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger S} \right) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left( e^{-ipx} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^S + e^{+ipx} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger S} \right)_{p^0=E_{\mathbf{p}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.37})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Pi}^H(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \dots = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left( -ip^0 e^{-ipx} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^S + ip^0 e^{+ipx} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger S} \right)_{p^0=E_{\mathbf{p}}} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\Phi}^H(\mathbf{x}, t). \end{aligned}$$

And the hermitian conjugate of the above gives

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger H}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left( e^{-ipx} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^S + e^{+ipx} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger S} \right)_{p^0=E_{\mathbf{p}}}^\dagger, \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left( e^{-ipx} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^S + e^{+ipx} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger S} \right)_{p^0=E_{\mathbf{p}}}, \\ \hat{\Pi}^{\dagger H}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left( -ip^0 e^{-ipx} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^S + ip^0 e^{+ipx} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger S} \right)_{p^0=E_{\mathbf{p}}}^\dagger \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left( -ip^0 e^{-ipx} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^S + ip^0 e^{+ipx} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger S} \right)_{p^0=E_{\mathbf{p}}} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger H}(\mathbf{x}, t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.38})$$

Problem 4(c):

This is obvious from eqs. (17) and the commutation relations between the (Schrödinger-picture) creation and annihilation operators.

Problem 4(d):

Again, we make use of eqs. (17) and the bosonic commutation relations, specifically eqs. (S.21) and (S.22):

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[ \hat{\Phi}^H(x), \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger H}(x') \right] &= \\
&= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}'}} \left[ \left( e^{-ipx} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^S + e^{+ipx} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger S} \right), \left( e^{-ip'x'} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}'}^S + e^{+ip'x'} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}'}^{\dagger S} \right) \right] \\
&= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}'}} \left( e^{-ipx+ip'x'} \times (2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \right. \\
&\quad \left. + e^{+ipx-ip'x'} \times -(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \right) \\
&= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left( e^{-ip(x-x')} - e^{+ip(x-x')} \right)_{p_0=E_{\mathbf{p}}} \\
&\equiv D(x - x') - D(x' - x).
\end{aligned}$$

*Q.E.D.*