

Problem 1(a):

In 3-vector notations, the Lorentz algebra comprises the $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{K}}$ operators satisfying the commutation relations

$$[\hat{J}^i, \hat{J}^j] = i\epsilon^{ij\ell} \hat{J}^\ell, \quad [\hat{J}^i, \hat{K}^j] = i\epsilon^{ij\ell} \hat{K}^\ell, \quad [\hat{K}^i, \hat{K}^j] = -i\epsilon^{ij\ell} \hat{J}^\ell. \quad (\text{S.1})$$

Consequently, for the $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mathbf{J}} \pm i\hat{\mathbf{K}})$, we have

$$[\hat{J}_\pm^i, \hat{J}_\pm^j] = \frac{i}{4}\epsilon^{ij\ell} \hat{J}^\ell \mp \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{ij\ell} \hat{K}^\ell \mp \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{ij\ell} \hat{K}^\ell + \frac{i}{4}\epsilon^{ij\ell} \hat{J}^\ell = i\epsilon^{ij\ell} \hat{J}_\pm^\ell \quad (\text{S.2})$$

while

$$[\hat{J}_\pm^i, \hat{J}_\mp^j] = \frac{i}{4}\epsilon^{ij\ell} \hat{J}^\ell \mp \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{ij\ell} \hat{K}^\ell \pm \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{ij\ell} \hat{K}^\ell - \frac{i}{4}\epsilon^{ij\ell} \hat{J}^\ell = 0. \quad (\text{S.3})$$

Q.E.D.

Problem 1(b):

First, note the hermiticity of the σ^μ matrices and the fact that any hermitian 2×2 matrix is a unique linear combination of the four σ_ν with real coefficients. Consequently,

$$\forall M : M\sigma^\mu M^\dagger = \sigma^\nu L_\nu^\mu(M) \implies X'_\nu = L_\nu^\mu(M)X_\mu \quad (\text{S.4})$$

for some real 4×4 matrix $L_\nu^\mu(M)$. Furthermore, for $M \in SL(2, \mathbf{C})$, *i.e.* for $\det(M) = 1$, this $L_\nu^\mu(M)$ matrix defines a Lorentz transform for which $X'_\mu X'^\mu = X_\mu X^\mu$. To see this, we note that

$$\det(X_\mu \sigma^\mu) = \det \begin{pmatrix} X_0 + X_3 & X_1 - iX_2 \\ X_1 + iX_2 & X_0 - X_3 \end{pmatrix} = (X_0)^2 - (X_3)^2 - (X_1)^2 - (X_2)^2 \equiv X^2 \quad (\text{S.5})$$

and then calculate

$$X'^2 = \det(X'_\mu \sigma^\mu) = \det(M(X_\mu \sigma^\mu)M^\dagger) = |\det(M)|^2 \times \det(X_\mu \sigma^\mu) = 1 \times X^2. \quad (\text{S.6})$$

Also, the Lorentz transform $X_\mu \rightarrow X'_\mu = L_\mu^\nu X_\nu$ is orthochronous because

$$L_0^0 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma^\nu L_\nu^0) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(M\sigma^0 M^\dagger) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(MM^\dagger) > 0. \quad (\text{S.7})$$

Problem 1(b*):

The simplest proof the $L_\mu^\nu(M)$ is proper as well as orthochronous involves the group law (problem 2(c) below) and the explicit examples of a pure rotation and a pure boost (problem 2(d) below, eqs. (S.11) and (S.13)), both of which are manifestly proper.

For any $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ matrix M we may decompose $M = HU$ where $H = \sqrt{MM^\dagger}$ is hermitian and $U = H^{-1}M$ is unitary. (Proof: $UU^\dagger = H^{-1}MM^\dagger H^{-1} = H^{-1}H^2H^{-1} = 1$.) Furthermore, both H and U are unimodular ($\det(H) = \det(U) = 1$), or in other words $H, U \in SL(2, \mathbf{C})$, which allows us to define two separate Lorentz transforms $L(H)$ and $L(U)$. According to the group law, together these two transform accomplish the $L(M)$ transform,

$$L(M) = L(H) \times L(U). \quad (\text{S.8})$$

Now, H is hermitian, unimodular, and positive definite, hence it has a well-defined logarithm which is hermitian and traceless, $\text{tr}(\log H) = 0$. For the 2×2 matrices, this means $\log H = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ for some real 3-vector \mathbf{r} , or equivalently $H = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right)$. As we shall see in eq. (S.13) below, this means that $L(H)$ is a pure Lorentz boost of rapidity r in the direction \mathbf{n} . This boost manifestly does not invert space or time, thus $L(U)$ is proper.

Likewise, U is unitary and unimodular, thus $U \in SU(2)$ and defines a pure rotation of space. Indeed, any $U \in SU(2)$ can be written as $U = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\theta\mathbf{n}'\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right)$ for some angle θ and some axis \mathbf{n}' , and according to eq. (S.11) below $L(U)$ is indeed a pure space rotation by angle θ around axis \mathbf{n}' . Again, this rotation is proper — it does not invert space or time. Thus, $L(H)$ and $L(U)$ are both proper Lorentz transforms, hence their product $L(M)$ must also be proper. (Proof: $\det(L(M)) = \det(L(H)) \times \det(L(U)) = +1$.) $\mathcal{Q.E.D.}$

And by the way, since any proper, orthochronous Lorentz transform $L \in SO^+(1, 3)$ can be realized as $L(M)$ for some $M \in SL(2, \mathbf{C})$, it follows that any such transform is a product of a pure space rotation $L(H)$ followed by a pure Lorentz boost $L(U)$.

Problem 1(c):

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\lambda L_\mu^\lambda(M_2 M_1) &= (M_2 M_1) \sigma_\mu (M_2 M_1)^\dagger = M_2 \left(M_1 \sigma_\mu M_1^\dagger = \sigma_\nu L_\mu^\nu(M_1) \right) M_2^\dagger \\ &= \left(M_2 \sigma_\nu M_2^\dagger \right) L_\mu^\nu(M_1) = \sigma_\lambda L_\nu^\lambda(M_2) L_\mu^\nu(M_1) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.9})$$

and hence $L_\mu^\lambda(M_2 M_1) = L_\nu^\lambda(M_2) L_\mu^\nu(M_1)$, *i.e.* $L(M_2 M_1) = L(M_2) L(M_1)$. $\mathcal{Q.E.D.}$

Problem 1(d):

Let $M = \exp(-\frac{i}{2}\theta \mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} - i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and hence $M^\dagger = M^{-1} = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Given $\sigma^0 = 1$ and unitarity of M , we have $M\sigma^0 M^\dagger = \sigma^0$, and hence according to eq. (7) $t' = t$ regardless of \mathbf{x} . In other words, $L(M)$ does not affect the time and is a purely spatial rotation. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{x}' &= M(\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\sigma})M^\dagger = \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} (\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left([\mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\sigma}] = 2i(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) \\ &\quad + \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \left((\mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma})(\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\sigma})(\mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = 2(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - (\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \right) \\ &= \cos \theta (\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) + \sin \theta ((\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\sigma}) + (1 - \cos \theta)(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.10})$$

thus

$$\mathbf{x}' = \cos \theta (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x})) + \sin \theta \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x}) \quad (\text{S.11})$$

which indeed describes a rotation through angle θ around axis \mathbf{n} .

Now consider $M = \exp(-\frac{r}{2} \mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \cosh \frac{r}{2} - \sinh \frac{r}{2} \mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and hence $M^\dagger = M$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x^\mu \sigma_\mu \equiv t - \mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) M^\dagger &= \cosh^2 \frac{r}{2} (t - \mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \\ &\quad - \sinh \frac{r}{2} \cosh \frac{r}{2} \left(\{ \mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma}, (t - \mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \} = 2t(\mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - 2(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x}) \right) \\ &\quad + \sinh^2 \frac{r}{2} \left((\mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma})(t - \mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\sigma})(\mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = t - 2(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) + (\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \right) \\ &= (\cosh r t + \sinh r \mathbf{n}\mathbf{x}) - (\boldsymbol{\sigma}\mathbf{n})(\sinh r t + \cosh r \mathbf{n}\mathbf{x}) \\ &\quad - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x})), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.12})$$

and therefore,

$$t' = (\cosh r)t + (\sinh r)\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{n}((\sinh r)t + (\cosh r)\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x}) + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x})), \quad (\text{S.13})$$

which is precisely the Lorentz boost of rapidity r in the direction \mathbf{n} . (The rapidity r is related to the usual parameters of a Lorentz boost according to $\beta = \tanh r$, $\gamma = \cosh r$, $\gamma\beta = \sinh r$. For several boosts in the same directions, the rapidities add up, $r_{\text{tot}} = r_1 + r_2 + \dots$) *Q.E.D.*

Problem 1 (e):

For any Lie algebra equivalent to an angular momentum or its analytic continuation, the product

of two doublets comprises a triplet and a singlet, $\mathbf{2} \otimes \mathbf{2} = \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{1}$, or in (j) notations, $(\frac{1}{2}) \otimes (\frac{1}{2}) = (1) \oplus (0)$. Furthermore, the triplet $\mathbf{3} = (1)$ is symmetric with respect to permutations of the two doublets while the singlet $\mathbf{1} = (0)$ is antisymmetric.

For two separate and independent types of angular momenta \mathbf{J}_+ and \mathbf{J}_- we combine the j_+ quantum numbers independently of j_- and the j_- quantum numbers independently of j_+ . Thus,

$$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = (1, 1) \oplus (1, 0) \oplus (0, 1) \oplus (0, 0). \quad (\text{S.14})$$

Furthermore, the symmetric part of this product should be either symmetric with respect to both the j_+ and the j_- indices or antisymmetric with respect to both indices, thus

$$[(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})]_{\text{sym}} = (1, 1) \oplus (0, 0). \quad (\text{S.15})$$

Likewise, the antisymmetric part is either symmetric with respect to the j_+ but antisymmetric with respect to the j_- or the other way around, thus

$$[(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})]_{\text{antisym}} = (1, 0) \oplus (0, 1). \quad (\text{S.16})$$

From the $SO(1,3)$ point of view, the $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ multiplet is the Lorentz vector, hence the generic 2-index Lorentz tensor decomposes into irreducible multiplets according to eq. (S.14). Imposing symmetry conditions, we have eq. (S.15) for the *symmetric* 2-index tensor $T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\nu\mu}$ where the singlet $(0,0)$ corresponds to the trace T^μ_μ while the $(1,1)$ irreducible multiplet is the traceless symmetric tensor.

Likewise, the antisymmetric Lorentz tensor $F^{\mu\nu} = -F^{\nu\mu}$ decomposes according to eq. (S.16). Here, the irreducible components $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ are complex but conjugate to each other; individually, they describe antisymmetric tensors subject to complex duality conditions $\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} = \pm iF^{\kappa\lambda}$, *i.e.* $\mathbf{E} = \pm i\mathbf{B}$.

Problem 1(f):

Without the $\gamma_\mu\Psi^\mu = 0$ constraint, the spin-vector Ψ_a^μ is the tensor product of the Dirac spinor and the Lorentz vector, thus

$$\left[(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \oplus (0, \frac{1}{2}) \right] \otimes (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = (1, \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (0, \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 0). \quad (\text{S.17})$$

The constraint removes a Dirac spinor $\gamma_\mu\Psi^\mu \Rightarrow (\frac{1}{2}, 0) \oplus (0, \frac{1}{2})$, thus we are left with the $(1, \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ part for the Rarita–Schwinger spin-vector.

Problem 2(a):

In spacetime, any purely spatial rotation $R(t, \mathbf{x}) = (t, R\mathbf{x})$ commutes with the space reflection $P(t, \mathbf{x}) = (t, -\mathbf{x})$. Consequently, by the group law, the parity operator $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ in the Fock space must commute with operators $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(R)$ representing the space rotations. And since the spatial rotations are generated by the angular momentum components \hat{J}^i , $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(\theta, \mathbf{n}) = \exp(-i\theta\mathbf{n}\hat{\mathbf{J}})$, the fact that all the $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(\theta, \mathbf{n})$ commute with the parity operator implies that $\hat{\mathbf{J}}\hat{\mathcal{P}} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\mathbf{J}}$.

Next, consider a pure Lorentz boost $B(r, \mathbf{n})$ (S.13). Clearly, reflecting the space reverses the direction of the boost,

$$PB(r, \mathbf{n})P = B(r, -\mathbf{n}) = B^{-1}(r, \mathbf{n}), \quad (\text{S.18})$$

hence the operators

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}(r, \mathbf{n}) = \exp(-ir\mathbf{n}\hat{\mathbf{K}}) \quad (\text{S.19})$$

representing the pure Lorentz boosts in the Fock space must have similar commutation relations with the parity operator:

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\mathcal{D}}(r, \mathbf{n})\hat{\mathcal{P}} = \hat{\mathcal{D}}(r, -\mathbf{n}). \quad (\text{S.20})$$

Consequently, in terms of the boost generators \hat{K}^i

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}\exp(-ir\mathbf{n}\hat{\mathbf{K}})\hat{\mathcal{P}} = \exp(+ir\mathbf{n}\hat{\mathbf{K}}) \implies \hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\mathbf{K}}\hat{\mathcal{P}} = -\hat{\mathbf{K}}. \quad (\text{S.21})$$

And in terms of the $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\pm}$ operators (1), the fact that $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ commutes with $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$ but anticommutes with $\hat{\mathbf{K}}$ means

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{+}\hat{\mathcal{P}} = \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{-} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{-}\hat{\mathcal{P}} = \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{+}; \quad (\text{S.22})$$

in other words, parity interchanges the $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{+}$ and the $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{-}$ operators.

Now consider a multiplet $\hat{\varphi}_a(x)$ of quantum fields. Saying that this multiplets has definite values of $j_{+} = C$ and $j_{-} = D$ technically means that

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{+}^2, \hat{\varphi}_a(x)] &= C(C+1)\hat{\varphi}_a(x), \\ [\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{-}^2, \hat{\varphi}_a(x)] &= D(D+1)\hat{\varphi}_a(x). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.23})$$

Applying the parity operator to the $\varphi_a(x)$ fields, we have

$$\hat{\varphi}'_a(t, -\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\varphi}_a(t, \mathbf{x})\hat{\mathcal{P}}. \quad (\text{S.24})$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\hat{\mathbf{J}}_+^2, \hat{\varphi}'_a(t, -\mathbf{x}) \right] &= \hat{\mathcal{P}} \left[\hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\mathbf{J}}_+^2\hat{\mathcal{P}}, \hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\varphi}'_a(t, -\mathbf{x})\hat{\mathcal{P}} \right] \hat{\mathcal{P}} \quad \langle\langle \text{note } \hat{\mathcal{P}}^2 = 1 \rangle\rangle \\ &= \hat{\mathcal{P}} \left[\hat{\mathbf{J}}_-^2, \hat{\varphi}_a(t, \mathbf{x}) \right] \hat{\mathcal{P}} \quad \langle\langle \text{by eqs. (S.22) and (S.24)} \rangle\rangle \\ &= \hat{\mathcal{P}} \left(D(D+1)\hat{\varphi}_a(t, \mathbf{x}) \right) \hat{\mathcal{P}} \quad \langle\langle \text{by eq. (S.23)} \rangle\rangle \\ &= D(D+1)\hat{\varphi}'_a(t, -\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.25})$$

and likewise

$$\left[\hat{\mathbf{J}}_+^2, \hat{\varphi}'_a(t, -\mathbf{x}) \right] = C(C+1)\hat{\varphi}'_a(t, -\mathbf{x}). \quad (\text{S.26})$$

Thus, the field multiplet $\hat{\varphi}'_a(x')$ has definite $(j_+, j_-)' = (D, C)$, which exchanges the j_+ and the j_- quantum numbers $(j_+, j_-) = (C, D)$ of the original multiplet $\hat{\varphi}_a(x)$.

Problem 2(b):

First, consider Dirac equation. Rewriting eq. (10) as $\Psi'(\mathbf{x}', t') = \pm\gamma^0\Psi(\mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{x}', t = +t')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i\not{\partial}' - m)\Psi'(x') &\equiv (i\gamma^0\partial_0 + i\vec{\gamma} \cdot \nabla' - m) \times (\pm\gamma^0)\Psi(\mathbf{x}', t) \\ &= (\pm\gamma^0)(i\gamma^0\partial_0 - i\vec{\gamma} \cdot \nabla' - m)\Psi(\mathbf{x}', t) \\ &= (\pm\gamma^0)(i\gamma^0\partial_0 + i\vec{\gamma} \cdot \nabla - m)\Psi(-\mathbf{x}, t) \\ &\equiv (\pm\gamma^0)(i\not{\partial} - m)\Psi\Big|_{x'}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.27})$$

Thus, $(i\not{\partial} - m)\Psi(x)$ transforms under parity exactly as the Dirac field $\Psi(x)$ itself, which means that the Dirac equation is *covariant* under parity.

Now consider Dirac Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}\bar{\Psi}(i\not{\partial} - m)\Psi$. Conjugating eq. (10) we have $\bar{\Psi}'(\mathbf{x}', t') = \pm\bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{x}', t = +t')$, and hence in light of eq. (S.27),

$$\mathcal{L}'(x') = \bar{\Psi}'(x')(i\not{\partial}' - m)\Psi'(x') = \bar{\Psi}(x)(i\not{\partial} - m)\Psi(x) = \mathcal{L}(x). \quad (\text{S.28})$$

In other words, Dirac Lagrangian transforms under parity as a true scalar field, and consequently the Dirac Action $\int d^4x\mathcal{L}$ is invariant.

Problem 3(a):

As explained in class,

$$u(p, s) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\sigma} \xi_s \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\sigma} \xi_s \end{pmatrix} \implies \bar{u}(p, s) = \left(\xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\sigma}, \xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\sigma} \right) \quad (\text{S.29})$$

where ξ_s is the ordinary 3D 2-component spinor normalized to $\xi_s^\dagger \xi_s = 1$ and therefore

$$\sum_s (\xi_s \xi_s^\dagger) = 1 \quad (\text{S.30})$$

as a 2×2 matrix. Consequently, in 4×4 matrix notations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s u(p, s) \bar{u}(p, s) &= \sum_s \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\sigma} (\xi_s \xi_s^\dagger) \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\sigma} & \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\sigma} (\xi_s \xi_s^\dagger) \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\sigma} \\ \hline \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\sigma} (\xi_s \xi_s^\dagger) \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\sigma} & \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\sigma} (\xi_s \xi_s^\dagger) \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\sigma} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \sqrt{E^2 - (\mathbf{p}\sigma)^2} & (E - \mathbf{p}\sigma) \\ \hline (E + \mathbf{p}\sigma) & \sqrt{E^2 - (\mathbf{p}\sigma)^2} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} m & (E - \mathbf{p}\sigma) \\ \hline (E + \mathbf{p}\sigma) & m \end{array} \right) = m + \not{p}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.31})$$

Likewise, for the negative-frequency spinors we have

$$v(p, s) = \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\sigma} \eta_s \\ -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\sigma} \eta_s \end{pmatrix} \implies \bar{v}(p, s) = \left(-\eta_s^\dagger \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\sigma}, +\eta_s^\dagger \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\sigma} \right) \quad (\text{S.32})$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s v(p, s) \bar{v}(p, s) &= \sum_s \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\sigma} (\xi_s \xi_s^\dagger) \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\sigma} & +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\sigma} (\xi_s \xi_s^\dagger) \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\sigma} \\ \hline +\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\sigma} (\xi_s \xi_s^\dagger) \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\sigma} & -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\sigma} (\xi_s \xi_s^\dagger) \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\sigma} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -\sqrt{E^2 - (\mathbf{p}\sigma)^2} & (E - \mathbf{p}\sigma) \\ \hline (E + \mathbf{p}\sigma) & -\sqrt{E^2 - (\mathbf{p}\sigma)^2} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -m & (E - \mathbf{p}\sigma) \\ \hline (E + \mathbf{p}\sigma) & -m \end{array} \right) = -m + \not{p}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.33})$$

Problem 3(b):

The constant spinors $u \equiv u(p, s)$ and $\bar{u}' \equiv \bar{u}(p', s')$ satisfy Dirac equations $\not{p}u = mu$ and $\bar{u}'\not{p}' = m\bar{u}'$. Applying both equations to the Dirac “sandwich” $\bar{u}'\gamma^\mu u$, we have

$$\bar{u}'\gamma^\mu u = \frac{1}{m}\bar{u}'\not{p}' \times \gamma^\mu u = \frac{1}{m}\bar{u}'\gamma^\mu \times \not{p}u = \frac{1}{2m}\bar{u}'(\not{p}'\gamma^\mu + \gamma^\mu \not{p})u. \quad (\text{S.34})$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \not{p}'\gamma^\mu + \gamma^\mu \not{p} &\equiv p'_\nu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu + p_\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu = \frac{1}{2}(p' + p)_\nu \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} + \frac{1}{2}(p' - p)_\nu [\gamma^\nu, \gamma^\mu] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(p' + p)_\nu \times 2g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(p' - p)_\nu \times 4iS^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.35})$$

and therefore

$$\bar{u}'\gamma^\mu u = \frac{(p' + p)^\mu}{2m}\bar{u}'u + \frac{i(p' - p)_\nu}{m}\bar{u}'S^{\mu\nu}u. \quad (2)$$

Q.E.D.

Problem 3(c):

The negative-frequency spinors $v \equiv v(p, s)$ and $\bar{v}' \equiv \bar{v}(p', s')$ satisfy Dirac equations $\not{p}v = -mv$ and $\bar{v}'\not{p}' = -m\bar{v}'$. Consequently, proceeding exactly as above modulo signs, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}'\gamma^\mu v &= \frac{(p' - p)^\mu}{2m}\bar{u}'v + \frac{i(p' + p)_\nu}{m}\bar{u}'S^{\mu\nu}v, \\ \bar{v}'\gamma^\mu u &= \frac{(-p' + p)^\mu}{2m}\bar{v}'u + \frac{i(-p' + p)_\nu}{m}\bar{v}'S^{\mu\nu}u, \\ \bar{v}'\gamma^\mu v &= \frac{(-p' - p)^\mu}{2m}\bar{v}'v + \frac{i(-p' + p)_\nu}{m}\bar{v}'S^{\mu\nu}v. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.36})$$

Problem 3(d):

Given $\mathbf{p}' = -\mathbf{p}$ and hence $E' = E = +\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$, we have

$$v(p', s') = \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E' - \mathbf{p}'\boldsymbol{\sigma}\eta} \\ -\sqrt{E' + \mathbf{p}'\boldsymbol{\sigma}\eta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\eta} \\ -\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\eta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S.37})$$

where $\eta = \eta_{s'}$. At the same time,

$$u^\dagger(p, s) = \left(\xi^\dagger \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \mid \xi^\dagger \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \right) \quad (\text{S.38})$$

where $\xi = \xi_s$. Consequently,

$$u^\dagger(p, s)v(p', s') = \xi^\dagger \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta - \xi^\dagger \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta = 0, \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$