1. Put a permanent magnet near a coil of wire carrying some variable current. Suppose the ferromagnetic material of the magnet is so hard that its magnetization $M$ stays constant despite the variable $H$ field of the coil.

(a) Argue that changing the current in the coil or moving the coil relative to the magnet takes reversible net work,

$$W_{\text{electric}} + W_{\text{mechanic}} = \Delta U(I, \text{coil's position}). \quad (1)$$

for some well-defined magnetic energy $U$ — there is no irreversibly lost work due to hysteresis. Also, show that

$$U = \frac{\mu_0}{2} \iiint_{\text{whole space}} H^2(x) \, d^3x + \text{const.} \quad (2)$$

Note: the $H$ field here is the net field due to both the current in the coil and the magnetization $M$ of the permanent magnet. Thus, even though the magnetization $M$ does not appear in eq. (2) directly, it does affect the net magnetic energy of the system via its effect on the $H$ field.

Hint: you can move the coil or the magnet, only the relative motion affects the forces, the torques, and the energies. But the argument becomes simpler for the magnet being fixed in place while the coil moves around it.

Now consider a system of several permanent magnets, each having constant magnetization $M$ despite the $H$ fields from the other magnets. But there are no coils or other macroscopic electric currents.

(b) Argue that the magnetic forces and torques on the magnets follow from the potential energy $U(\text{geometry})$ which has exactly the same form as in eq. (2).
(c) Show that without macroscopic currents

\[ \iiint_{\text{whole space}} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{B} \, d^3x = 0, \quad (3) \]

then use this formula to rewrite the magnetic energy (2) as

\[ U = -\frac{\mu_0}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{\text{magnets}} \iiint_{\text{magnet}\#i} \mathbf{M}_i \cdot \mathbf{H}[\text{magnet}\#j] \, d^3x + \text{const}. \quad (4) \]

(d) To check this formula, consider a system of two small magnets separated by a much larger distance. Approximating each magnet as a pure dipole of magnetic moment \( \mathbf{m}_1 \) or \( \mathbf{m}_2 \), show that for this system

\[ U + \text{const} = -\mathbf{B}_1 \cdot \mathbf{m}_2 = -\mathbf{B}_2 \cdot \mathbf{m}_1 \quad (5) \]

where \( \mathbf{B}_1 \) is the magnetic field of the first magnet at the location of the second magnet and likewise for the \( \mathbf{B}_2 \). Then use eq. (5) to argue that the forces and the torques on the magnets stemming from the magnetic energy (4) = (5) agree with the usual formulae for the forces and the torques on magnetic dipoles,

\[ \mathbf{F} = \nabla(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}), \quad \mathbf{\tau} = \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{B}. \quad (6) \]
2. A transformer is made of 2 coils on a common ferromagnetic core. The coils have respective self-inductances \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) and mutual inductance \( M_{12} = M_{21} = k \sqrt{L_1 L_2} \). The primary coil is plugged into an AC power source of voltage \( V_1 \) and frequency \( \omega \), while the secondary coil is connected to a load of impedance \( Z_2 \):

For simplicity, consider an ideal transformer: perfectly linear ferromagnetic core with no hysteresis, no eddy currents in the core, no ohmic losses in the wiring of the coils, and perfect \textit{magnetic coupling} of the two coils, \( k = 1 \).

(a) Write down linear equations for the complex amplitudes of the currents in the two coils and the voltages on them. Then solve the equations and show that

\[
\frac{V_2}{V_1} = n, \quad \frac{I_2}{I_1} = \frac{1}{n} \times \frac{j\omega L_2}{j\omega L_2 + Z_2}
\]

for \( n \) being the \textit{stepping ratio}

\[
n = \sqrt{\frac{L_2}{L_1}}.
\]

In particular, show that even for an ideal transformer, the simple ratios

\[
\frac{V_2}{V_1} = n, \quad \frac{I_2}{I_1} = \frac{1}{n}
\]

obtain only for \( |Z_2| \ll \omega L_2 \).
(b) Now consider a somewhat less ideal transformer with a coupling coefficient \( k \) just a little bit smaller than 1, so that \( 1 - k^2 \ll 1 \). Again, calculate the transformer ratios \( V_2/V_1 \) and \( I_2/I_1 \) and show that they approximate the simple ratios (9) for the load impedance \( Z_2 \) in the range

\[
\omega L_2 \gg |Z_2| \gg (1 - k^2) \times \omega L_2,
\]

but outside of this range we need more complicated formulae.

(c) Finally, for a transformer made of two coils of respectively \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) turns wound around a toroidal ferromagnetic core, check that \( n \approx N_2/N_1 \). Also, explain what causes \( k < 1 \) and argue that in the limit of very high permeability \( \mu \) of the ferromagnetic core \( k \to 1 \).